



<http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/sf.106249>

Science Forum (Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences)

journal homepage: www.atbuscienceforum.com



Compactness with respect to ideal via β -open sets

Abdul Iguda*, Saifullahi S. Roni

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria



ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study β -compactness and present a new class of compactness in ideal topological space, viz. β pl-compact spaces, by utilizing the notion of β -open sets. We also present some basic properties and characterizations of the generalized forms of β pl-compactness and the effect of some different types of functions on them.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 May 2020

Received in revised form

19 March 2021

Accepted 20 March 2021

Published 27 April 2022

Available online 27 April 2022

KEYWORDS

Ideal

I-compact

β -compact

β I-compact

ρ I-compact

β pl-compact

*aAbdul Iguda aiguda.mth@

buk.edu.ng Department of

Mathematical Sciences, Bayero

University, Kano, Nigeria.

1. Introduction

The concept of ideal in topological space was first studied by Vaidyanathaswamy (1945) and Kuratowski (1966). It was widely studied by Jankovic and Hamlett (1990). Since then, many researchers in the field of General Topology are using ideal to generalize fundamental concepts in General Topology, such as compactness, Lindeloofness, connectedness, Hausdorffness, etc. Newcomb (1967) was the first to introduce the idea of compactness in an ideal topological space and it has been studied and by Racine (1972). Thoroughly, the concept was examined by Hamlett and Jankovic (1990). Thereafter, different classes and strong forms of compactness modulo an ideal have been introduced and studied. Gupta and Kaur (2014) studied and discussed properties of I-compact spaces. They also generalized some of the results in compact spaces, in terms

of I-compact spaces. Hamlett et al. (1991) presented and studied countable compactness with respect to an ideal. Abd El-Monsef et al. (1993) used the concept of semi-open sets and introduced SI-compactness in ideal topological spaces. Nasef (2001) introduced another generalized concept

of I-compact spaces via the notion of γ -open sets called γ I-compact spaces. Abd El-Monsef et al. (1983) initiated the study of β -open sets in topology, and the analogous notion of semi-preopen set was given exclusively by Andrijevic (1986) and was additionally examined by Ganster and Andrijevic (1988). More applications and backgrounds of β -open sets were discussed by Caldas and Jafari (2009). The aim of this paper is to utilize the notion of β -open sets to examine β -compactness and introduce some types of compactness modulo ideal called β pl-compact. Throughout

* Corresponding author Abdul Iguda ✉ aiguda.mth@buk.edu.ng ✉ Department of Mathematical Sciences, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria.

this paper, (X, τ) and (Y, δ) (or simply X and Y) always mean topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. For a set $A \subseteq X$, $cl(A)$, $int(A)$, and $X \setminus A$ denote, respectively, the closure, interior, and the complement of A in (X, τ) , and $\rho(A)$ denotes the power set of A . The set U_x denotes the set of all open neighborhoods of x in a topological space (X, τ) .

2. Preliminaries

Definition: 2.1 An ideal I on a topological space (X, τ) is a nonempty collection of subsets of X such that if:

- i) $A \in I$ and $B \subseteq A$, then $B \in I$ (heredity).
- ii) $A \in I$ and $B \in I$, then $(A \cup B) \in I$ (finite additivity).

An ideal topological space (or simply ideal space) denoted as (X, τ, I) is a topological space (X, τ) with an ideal I on X . An ideal I in a topological space (X, τ) is said to be:

- i) Codense (Dontchev et al., 1999), τ -boundary (Newcomb, 1967), and (Jankovic and Hamlett, 1990), Hayashi-Samuel (Dontchev, 1996) ideal if $I \cap \tau = \{\emptyset\}$.
- ii) σ -ideal if it is countably additive (Jankovic and Hamlett, 1990).
- iii) Compatible with τ , denoted by $I \sim \tau$, if for each $A \subseteq X$ and each $a \in A$, there exists $U \in U_a$ such that if $(U \cap A) \in I$, then $A \in I$ (Jankovic and Hamlett, 1992).

Definition: 2.2 Given an ideal space (X, τ, I) and a subset A of X , a set operator $(.)^*: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ called a local function of A with respect to τ and I is defined to be the set $A^*(I, \tau) = \{x \in X: (A \cap U) \notin I, \text{ for every } U \in U_x\}$. The Kuratowski closure operator $Cl^*(.)$ for a topology $\tau^*(I, \tau)$, called the $*$ -topology, finer than τ , is defined by $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*(I, \tau)$. Where no confusion will arise, we write A^* for $A^*(I, \tau)$ and τ^* for $\tau^*(I, \tau)$. For an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , the collection $\{U \setminus A: U \in \tau \text{ and } A \in I\}$ is a base for τ^* . A subset A of X is said to be τ^* -closed if $A^* \subseteq A$ (Jankovic and Hamlett, 1990). A subset A of X is said to be τ^* -perfect if $A^* = A$ and it is said to be τ^* -dense if $A \subseteq A^*$ (Hayashi, 1964).

Example: 2.3 For a given topological space (X, τ) , the following are some of the important ideals on (X, τ) : $I = \{\emptyset\}$, $I_f = \{A \subseteq X: A \text{ is finite}\}$, $I_c = \{A \subseteq X: A \text{ is countable}\}$, $\rho(X)$, and $P(U) = \{A \subseteq U: U \subseteq X\}$ for any given subset U of X .

Lemma: 2.4 If I and J are ideals on a topological space (X, τ) , then the following hold:

- a) $I \cap J = \{A: A \in I \text{ and } A \in J\}$ is an ideal on X .
- b) $I \vee J = \{A \cup B: A \in I \text{ and } B \in J\}$ is an ideal on X (Jankovic and Hamlett, 1990).

Lemma: 2.5 If I and J are ideals on a topological space (X, τ) , then $I \wedge J = \{A \cap B: A \in I \text{ and } B \in J\}$ is an ideal on X .

Proof

- i) $E \in I \wedge J$ and $F \subseteq E \Rightarrow F \subseteq E = A \cap B$ for some $A \in I$ and $B \in J \Rightarrow \exists A_1 \subseteq A$ and $\exists B_1 \subseteq B$ such that $F = A_1 \cap B_1 \subseteq E = A \cap B$. Now $A_1 \subseteq A$ and $B_1 \subseteq B \Rightarrow A_1 \in I$ and $B_1 \in J$. Hence, $F = A_1 \cap B_1$ for some $A_1 \in I$ and $B_1 \in J$, i.e., $F \in I \wedge J$.
- ii) $E \in I \wedge J$ and $F \in I \wedge J \Rightarrow E = A_1 \cap B_1$ and $F = A_2 \cap B_2 \Rightarrow E \subseteq A_1, E \subseteq B_1$ and $F \subseteq A_2, F \subseteq B_2$ for some $A_1, A_2 \in I$ and $B_1, B_2 \in J \Rightarrow E \cup F \subseteq A_1 \cup A_2 = A_3$ and $E \cup F \subseteq B_1 \cup B_2 = B_3$ for some $A_3 \in I$ and $B_3 \in J$. Hence, $E \cup F \in I \wedge J$. i.e. $E \cup F = G$ for some $G \in I$ and $G \in J$.

Lemma: 2.6 $I \cap J = I \wedge J$.

Proof $A \in I \cap J \Rightarrow A \in I$ and $A \in J \Rightarrow A \cap A = A \in I \wedge J$.

Conversely, $A \in I \wedge J \Rightarrow A = E \cap F$ for some $E \in I$ and $F \in J$

$\Rightarrow A \subseteq E \in I$ and $A \subseteq F \in J \Rightarrow A \in I$ and $A \in J \Rightarrow A \in I \cap J$.

Lemma: 2.7 a) $I \wedge J \subseteq I$ and $I \wedge J \subseteq J$ b) $I \subseteq I \vee J$ and $J \subseteq I \vee J$.

Proof

- a) $A \in I \wedge J \Rightarrow A = E \cap F$ for some $E \in I$ and $F \in J \Rightarrow A \subseteq E \in I \Rightarrow A \in I$.
- b) Let $A \in I$, then $\forall B \in J, A \subseteq A \cup B \in I \vee J$. Now, $I \vee J$ is an ideal, $A \cup B \in I \vee J, \forall B \in J$, and $A \subseteq (A \cup B)$, and $\forall B \in J \Rightarrow A \in I \vee J$.

Definition: 2.8 A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be β -open (Abd El-Monsef, 1983) [pre-semi-open (Andrijevic, 1986)] if $A \subseteq cl(int(cl(A)))$. The complement of a β -open set is called a β -closed set. The collection of all β -open sets in a topological space (X, τ) is denoted as $\beta O(X, \tau)$.

Definition: 2.9 The β -closure of A is the intersection over all β -closed sets containing A and is denoted by $\beta cl(A)$. The β -interior of A is the union over all β -open sets contained in A and is denoted by $\beta int(A)$.

Lemma: 2.10 For a topological space (X, τ) , the following hold:

- a) $\tau \subseteq \beta O(X, \tau)$.
- b) $\beta O(X, \tau)$ is closed under arbitrary union.
- c) $\beta O(X, \tau) \subseteq \beta O(X, \tau^*)$.

Proof

- a) (X, τ) is a topological space and $A \in \tau \Rightarrow A \subseteq \text{cl}(A) \Rightarrow A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \Rightarrow A \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) \Rightarrow A \in \beta O(X, \tau)$.
- b) Consider the collection $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ and let $A_\alpha \in \beta O(X, \tau), \forall \alpha \in \Delta$. Then, $A_\alpha \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A_\alpha)))$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta$ and $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} [\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A_\alpha)))] \subseteq \text{cl}[\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (\text{int}(\text{cl}(A_\alpha)))] \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (\text{cl}(A_\alpha)))) \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha)))$.
Setting $Q = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha$ we have $Q \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(Q)))$.
- c) τ^* is finer than τ , i.e., $\tau \subseteq \tau^*$ implies $\beta O(X, \tau) \subseteq \beta O(X, \tau^*)$.

Remark: 2.11 By (a) of Lemma 2.10 $\tau \subseteq \beta O(X, \tau) \Rightarrow$ every open set is β -open $\Rightarrow$ complement of every open set is β -closed $\Rightarrow$ every closed set is β -closed.

Definition: 2.12 A subset A of an ideal space (X, τ, I) is said to be β -open with respect to I (or βI -open) if there exists an open set U such that

- i) $(U \setminus A) \in I$, and
ii) $(A \setminus \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(U)))) \in I$ (Catalan et al., 2019).

Definition: 2.13 A subset A of an ideal space (X, τ, I) is said to be generalized closed with respect to ideal I (briefly I g-closed) if and only if $(\text{cl}(A) \setminus U) \in I$, whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U \in \tau$ (Catalan et al., 2019).

Definition: 2.14 A subset A of an ideal space (X, τ, I) is said to be I g β -closed if and only if $(\beta \text{cl}(A) \setminus U) \in I$, whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U \in \beta O(X, \tau)$.

Definition: 2.15 A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be generalized β -closed (g β -closed) if $\beta \text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$, whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U \in \beta O(X, \tau)$.

Lemma: 2.16 Every g β -closed set in an ideal space $(X, \tau, \{\phi\})$ is $\{\phi\}$ g β -closed.

Proof Let $(X, \tau, \{\phi\})$ be an ideal space and A be g β -closed and $A \subseteq U, U \in \beta O(X, \tau)$. Now, $A \subseteq U$ implies $A \setminus U = \phi \in \{\phi\}$ and A is g β -closed $\Rightarrow (\beta \text{cl}(A) \setminus U) \in I = \{\phi\} \Rightarrow \beta \text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$. Hence, A is $\{\phi\}$ g β -closed.

Corollary: 2.17 Every g β -closed set in an ideal space $(X, \tau, \rho(X))$ is $\rho(X)$ g β -closed.

Definition: 2.18 A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ is said to be:

- a) β -irresolute, if $\forall U \in \beta O(Y, \delta), f^{-1}(U) \in \beta O(X, \tau)$,
b) $M\beta$ -open, if $\forall U \in \beta O(X, \tau), f(U) \in \beta O(Y, \delta)$ (Mahmoud and Abd El-Monsef, 1990).

Lemma: 2.19 If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ is β -irresolute surjection and I is an ideal on X , then

- a) $J = \{A \subseteq Y : f^{-1}(A) \in I\}$ is ideal on Y .
b) $f(I) = \{f(A) : A \in I\}$ is ideal on Y (Newcomb, 1967).

Lemma: 2.20 If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ is $M\beta$ -open injection and J is an ideal on Y , then $f^{-1}(J) = \{f^{-1}(A) : A \in J\}$ is ideal on X (Mahmoud and Abd El-Monsef, 1990).

Definition: 2.21 A topological space (X, τ) is said to be:

- a) β -Hausdorff or (βT_2) -space if given any two distinct points $x, y \in X$ there exist disjoint β -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$ (Mahmoud and Abd El-Monsef, 1990).
b) B -Urysohn or $(\beta T_{2\frac{1}{2}})$ -space if given any two distinct points $x, y \in X$ there exist disjoint β -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$ and $\beta \text{cl}(U) \cap \beta \text{cl}(V) = \phi$.
c) β -normal if given any two disjoint closed sets A and B in X there exist disjoint β -open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$ (Mahmoud and Abd El-Monsef, 1990).

Lemma: 2.22 Every β -Urysohn space is β -Hausdorff

Proof (X, τ) is $(\beta T_{2\frac{1}{2}})$ -space $\Rightarrow$ given any two distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exist disjoint β -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$, and $\beta \text{cl}(U) \cap \beta \text{cl}(V) = \phi \Rightarrow$ given any two distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exist two β -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \phi \Rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is (βT_2) -space.

Lemma: 2.23 Every completely β -normal space is β -normal

Definition: 2.24 Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A collection $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of subsets of X is said to be:

- a) A cover for X if $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha$.
b) An open (resp., β -open) cover for X if $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a cover for X and $\forall \alpha \in \Delta, U_\alpha \in \tau$ (resp., $U_\alpha \in \beta O(X, \tau)$) (Mahmoud and Abd El-Monsef, 1990).

Definition: 2.25 A topological space (X, τ) is said to be

- i) β -compact (resp. countably β -compact) if for every β -open (resp. countable β -open) cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ for X , $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha$ (Mahmoud and Abd El-Monsef, 1990).
ii) β -compactum if (X, τ) is β -compact and β -Hausdorff.

Definition: 2.26 An ideal space (X, τ, I) is said to be

- i) I-compact (resp. countably I-compact) if for every open (resp. countable open) cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ for X , $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha) \in I$. (Hosny, 2013).
- ii) βI -compact (resp. countably βI -compact) if for every β -open (resp. countable β -open) cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ for X , $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha) \in I$. (Mahmoud and Abd El-Monsef, 1990).

3. β -Compactness

Theorem 3.1 If (X, τ) is β -compact and B is β -closed subset of X , then B is β -compact.

Proof Let (X, τ) be β -compact, B be β -closed subset of X , and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -open sets such that $B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha$. Then, $(X \setminus B)$ is β -open and $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \cup (X \setminus B)$. Since X is β -compact, $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $B \subseteq X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha \cup (X \setminus B)$. Hence, $B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha$. Therefore, B is β -compact.

Lemma: 3.2 If (X, τ) is β -compact and B is closed subset of X , then B is β -compact.

Theorem 3.3 If B is a β -compact set in a β -Hausdorff space X and $x \notin B$, then there exist β -open sets U and V such that $x \in U, B \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Proof Let B be a β -compact set in a β -Hausdorff space X and $x \notin B$. Now, $\forall b \in B, x \neq b$ and since X is β -Hausdorff, there exist β -open sets U_x and U_b such that $x \in U_x, b \in U_b$, and $U_x \cap U_b = \emptyset$. The family $\{U_b : b \in B\}$ forms a β -open cover for B . Since B is β -compact, there exist a finite subcollection $\{U_{b_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{b_i}$. Let $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{b_i}$, then V is β -open and $B \subseteq V$. For each $U_{b_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ there exists a corresponding U_{x_i} such that $x \in U_{x_i}$ and $U_{x_i} \cap U_{b_i} = \emptyset$. Let $U = \beta \text{int}(\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{x_i})$, then U is β -open, $x \in U, B \subseteq V$, and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.4 A β -compact set in a β -Hausdorff space is β -closed.

Proof Let X be β -Hausdorff and $B \subseteq X$ be β -compact. Let $x \notin B$, then by Theorem 3.3, there exist disjoint β -open sets U and V such that $x \in U, B \subseteq V$. This implies $\forall x \notin B$, there exist β -open set U_x such that $x \in U_x$ and $U_x \cap B = \emptyset$. Let $H = \bigcup \{U_x : x \notin B\}$, then H is β -open and $(X/H) = B$. Hence, B is β -closed.

Theorem 3.5 Every β -compactum is β -normal.

Proof Let X be β -compact and β -Hausdorff and A and B be any disjoint closed sets in X . Then, A and B are β -closed and disjoint. By Theorem 3.1, A and B are β -compact and $\forall a \in A, a \notin B$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, there exist β -open sets U_a and V_a with $a \in U_a$ and $B \subseteq V_a$ such that $U_a \cap V_a = \emptyset$. Now, $A \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in A} U_a$ and $B \subseteq V_a, a \in A$. Since A is β -compact, there exists a finite subcover say $\{U_{a_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{a_i}$. Let $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{a_i}$, then U is β -open and $A \subseteq U$. Now, for each U_{a_i} there exists V_{a_i} such that $B \subseteq V_{a_i}$ and $U_{a_i} \cap V_{a_i} = \emptyset$. Let $V = \beta \text{int}(\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{a_i})$, then V is β -open and $B \subseteq V$. Moreover, $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Hence, X is β -normal.

Definition: 3.6 An ideal space (X, τ, I) is said to be $\text{Ig}\beta$ -normal; if given any two disjoint $\text{Ig}\beta$ -closed sets A and B there exist two disjoint β -open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$.

Theorem 3.7 If (X, τ, I) is an ideal space and (X, τ) is β -completely normal, then (X, τ, I) is $\text{Ig}\beta$ -normal.

Proof Let (X, τ) be β -completely normal, A and B be any two disjoint $\text{Ig}\beta$ -closed sets. (X, τ) is completely β -normal and implies that there exist disjoint β -open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$. This implies that (X, τ, I) is $\text{Ig}\beta$ -normal.

Theorem 3.8 If X is β -compactum, then $A \subseteq X$ is β -closed if and only if A is β -compact.

Proof X is β -compactum and $A \subseteq X$ is β -closed $\Rightarrow A$ is β -compact (Theorem 3.1).

Conversely, X is a β -compactum and $A \subseteq X$ is β -compact $\Rightarrow A$ is β -closed (Theorem 3.4).

Theorem 3.9 A space (X, τ) is β -compact if and only if every family $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of β -closed sets in X having the finite intersection property has a nonempty intersection.

Proof Let X be β -compact and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -closed sets in X having the finite intersection property.

Suppose $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = \emptyset$, then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (X \setminus U_\alpha) = X \setminus \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = X \setminus \emptyset = X$. This implies $\{X \setminus U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a β -open cover for X . Since X is β -compact, there is a finite subcover say $\{X \setminus U_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (X \setminus U_{\alpha_i}) = X \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$. This implies $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} = \emptyset$, and this a contradiction, i.e., $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ has the finite intersection property. Hence, $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Conversely, suppose the condition holds and let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a β -open cover for X . Then, $\{X \setminus U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of β -closed subsets of X such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} (X \setminus U_\alpha) = X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = X \setminus X = \emptyset$. By our hypothesis, the collection $\{X \setminus U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ has no finite intersection property. Therefore, there is a finite subcollection such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (X \setminus U_{\alpha_i}) = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} = \emptyset$. This implies $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$. Hence, the collection $\{U_{\alpha_i} : i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a finite subcover of $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ for X . Therefore, X is β -compact.

4. $\beta\rho I$ -Compactness

Definition: 4.1 A subset A of an ideal space (X, τ, I) is said to be ρI -compact if for every family $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of open subsets of X , if $(A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \in I$, $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha) \in I$. The space (X, τ, I) is said to be ρI -compact if X is ρI -compact (Pachon, 2016).

Definition: 4.2 A subset A of an ideal space (X, τ, I) is said to be $\beta\rho I$ -compact if for every family $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of β -open sets in X , if $(A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \in I$, $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha) \in I$. The space (X, τ, I) is said to be $\beta\rho I$ -compact if X is $\beta\rho I$ -compact.

Example: 4.3

- i) Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ be equipped with the standard topology, and $I = \rho(R)$ be an ideal on $\mathbb{R}$. Then, the ideal space $(\mathbb{R}, \tau, \rho(\mathbb{R}))$ is $\beta\rho I$ -compact, i.e., if $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ a family of β -open sets in $\mathbb{R}$, if $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \in \rho(\mathbb{R})$, $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha) \in \rho(\mathbb{R})$.
- ii) Let $X = \{x, y, z\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{x\}, \{x, z\}\}$ and $I = I_f$. Then, the space (X, τ, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact, i.e., for any collection $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of members of $\beta o(x, \tau) = \{\emptyset, X, \{x\}, \{x, y\}, \{x, z\}\}$, for which $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \in I_f$, $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha) \in I_f$.

Lemma: 4.4 If $(X, \tau, \{\phi\})$ is $\beta\rho\{\phi\}$ -compact and B is β -closed in X , then B is $\beta\rho\{\phi\}$ -compact.

(Theorem 3.1).

Lemma: 4.5 If X is $\beta\rho\{\phi\}$ -compact and β -Hausdorff, then X is β -normal (Theorem 3.5).

Theorem 4.6 A topological space (X, τ) is β -compact if and only if $(X, \tau, \{\phi\})$ is $\beta\rho\{\phi\}$ -compact.

Proof Let (X, τ) be β -compact. This implies given any collection $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of β -open sets in X such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) = \emptyset$, $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha) = \emptyset \in \{\phi\}$. This implies $(X, \tau, \{\phi\})$ is $\beta\rho\{\phi\}$ -compact.

Conversely, let $(X, \tau, \{\phi\})$ be $\beta\rho\{\phi\}$ -compact and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any β -open cover for X . This implies $(X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \Rightarrow X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = \emptyset \in \{\phi\} \Rightarrow \exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha) \in \{\phi\} \Rightarrow (X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha) = \emptyset \Rightarrow X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha \Rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is β -compact.

Lemma: 4.7 If (X, τ, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact for every ideal I , then (X, τ) is β -compact.

Theorem 4.8 If (X, τ, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact, then (X, τ, I) is ρI -compact.

Proof Let (X, τ, I) be $\beta\rho I$ -compact and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of open sets in X such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \in I$. By (a) of Lemma 2.10 $U_\alpha \in \beta o(X, \tau), \forall \alpha \in \Delta$. By our hypothesis, $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha) \in I$. Hence, (X, τ, I) is ρI -compact.

Theorem 4.9 An ideal space (X, τ, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact iff (X, τ^*, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact.

Proof Suppose (X, τ, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a collection of β -open sets in (X, τ^*, I) such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \in I$. Now, $U_\alpha = V_\alpha \setminus W_\alpha$ such that $V_\alpha \in \beta o(X, \tau), W_\alpha \in I, \forall \alpha \in \Delta$. Therefore, $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a collection of β -open sets in (X, τ, I) and $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha$. Therefore, $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha \subseteq X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in I$ implies $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha \in I$. By our hypothesis $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} V_\alpha) \in I$. Now, $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha \subseteq [(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} V_\alpha) \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} W_\alpha)] \in I \Rightarrow (X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha) \in I$. This implies (X, τ^*, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact.

Conversely, suppose (X, τ^*, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a collection of β -open sets in

(X, τ, I) such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \in I$. By (c) of Lemma 2.10 and our hypothesis, $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a collection of β -open sets in (X, τ^*, I) and $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha) \in I$. Hence, (X, τ, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact.

Theorem 4.10 An ideal space (X, τ, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact iff for any family $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of β -closed sets in X , if $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha \in I$, then $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} V_\alpha \in I$.

Proof Let (X, τ, I) be a β pl-compact and $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -closed sets in X such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha \in I$. Now, $U_\alpha = X \setminus V_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in \Delta$, then $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of β -open sets in X . Therefore, we have $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} (X \setminus U_\alpha) = X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in I$. By our hypothesis, $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha) \in I$. Hence, $(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} (X \setminus U_\alpha) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} V_\alpha \in I$. Conversely, suppose the condition holds and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of β -open sets in X such that $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in I$. Then $\{(X \setminus U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of β -closed sets in X and $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} (X \setminus U_\alpha) \in I$. By our hypothesis, $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} (X \setminus U_\alpha) = (X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha) \in I$. Let (X, τ, I) be a β pl-compact.

Corollary: 4.11 (X, τ^*, I) is β pl-compact if and only if for any family $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of β -closed sets in (X, τ^*, I) , if $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha \in I$, then $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} V_\alpha \in I$.

Theorem 4.12 Let I and J be ideals in (X, τ) and $K = I \cap J$. If (X, τ, I) is β pl-compact and

(X, τ, J) is β pl-compact, then (X, τ, K) is β pl-compact.

Proof Suppose the condition holds and let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -open sets in X such that $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in K$. This implies $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in I$ and $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in J$. Now, if (X, τ, I) is β pl-compact and (X, τ, J) is β pl-compact, then there exist finite subsets Δ_1 and Δ_2 of Δ such that $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_1} U_\alpha \in I$ and $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_2} U_\alpha \in J$. Taking $\Delta_3 = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$, then Δ_3 is finite and $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_3} U_\alpha \in I \cap J = K$. Hence, (X, τ, K) is β pl-compact.

Theorem 4.13 If A and B are β pl-compact subsets of an ideal space (X, τ, I) , then $(A \cup B)$ is β pl-compact.

Proof Let A and B be β pl-compact subsets of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -open sets in X such that $(A \cup B) \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in I$. This implies $A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \subseteq (A \cup B) \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in I$ and similarly $B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \subseteq (A \cup B) \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in I$. Since A and B are β pl-compact, there exist finite subsets Δ_1 and Δ_2 of Δ such that $A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_1} U_\alpha \in I$ and $B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_2} U_\alpha \in I$. Taking $\Delta_3 = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$, then Δ_3 is finite and we have $A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_3} U_\alpha \in I$ and $B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_3} U_\alpha \in I$. This implies $(A \cup B) \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_3} U_\alpha \in I$. Hence, $(A \cup B)$ is β pl-compact.

Lemma: 4.14 Finite union of β pl-compact sets is β pl-compact.

Theorem 4.15 Let A be a β pl-compact subset of an ideal space (X, τ, I) . If B is a β -open set and $B \subseteq A$, then $A \setminus B$ is β pl-compact.

Proof Let A be a β pl-compact subset of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) and B be a β -open set such that $B \subseteq A$. Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -open sets in X such that $(A \setminus B) \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in I$. This implies $A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (B \cup U_\alpha) \in I$. Given that A is a β pl-compact and B is β -open, $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} (B \cup U_\alpha) \in I$. This implies $(A \setminus B) \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha \in I$. Hence, $(A \setminus B)$ is β pl-compact.

Theorem 4.16 Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal space and $A \subseteq X$. If for every collection $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of β -open sets, if $(A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \in I$ there exist a β pl-compact set B containing A such that $(B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \in I$, then A is β pl-compact.

Proof Suppose the condition holds. Let $A \subseteq X$, $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -open sets such that $(A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \in I$ and B be β pl-compact set containing A such that $(B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \in I$. Now, B is β pl-compact implies $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $(B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha) \in I$. And, $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow (A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha) \in I$. Hence, A is β pl-compact.

Theorem 4.17 If $(X, \tau, \{\phi\})$ is β pl-compact, then every ϕ g β -closed subset of $(X, \tau, \{\phi\})$ is β -compact.

Proof Let A be a ϕ g β -closed subset of β pl-compact space $(X, \tau, \{\phi\})$ and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -open sets such that $(A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha)$. Now, A is ϕ g β -closed $\Rightarrow \beta cl(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha$. Therefore, $X = (X \setminus \beta cl(A)) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \Rightarrow X \setminus [(X \setminus \beta cl(A)) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha] = \phi \in \{\phi\}$. Since $X \setminus \beta cl(A)$ is β -open and $(X, \tau, \{\phi\})$ is β pl-compact, then $\exists \Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $X \setminus [(X \setminus \beta cl(A)) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha] = \phi$. But $X \setminus [(X \setminus \beta cl(A)) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha] = \beta cl(A) \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha$. This implies $A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha = \phi \Rightarrow (A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha)$. Hence, A is β -compact.

Theorem 4.18 If A and B are subsets of an ideal space $(X, \tau, \{\phi\})$ such that $A \subseteq B, B \subseteq \beta cl(A)$ and A is $\{\phi\}$ g β -closed, then A is β pl-compact if and only if B is β pl-compact.

Proof Suppose the condition holds and A is β pl-compact. Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -open sets such that $B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = \phi \in \{\phi\}$. Now, $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \subseteq B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = \phi$ and this implies $A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = \phi$.

Given that A is $\{\phi\}g\beta$ -closed and $\beta\rho\{\phi\}$ -compact, $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} (U_\alpha) = \phi$ and $\beta cl(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} (U_\alpha) = \phi$. This implies $B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha \subseteq \beta cl(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} (U_\alpha) = \phi$. Therefore, $B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha = \phi \in \{\phi\}$. Hence, B is $\beta\rho\{\phi\}$ -compact.

Conversely, suppose the condition holds and B is $\beta\rho\{\phi\}$ -compact. Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -open sets such that $A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = \phi \in \{\phi\}$. This implies $\beta cl(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \Rightarrow \beta cl(A) \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = \phi$. Now, $B \subseteq \beta cl(A) \Rightarrow B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = \phi$. Also, $\beta\rho\{\phi\}$ -compact $\Rightarrow \exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha = \phi \in \{\phi\}$. Now, $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha \subseteq B \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha = \phi \in \{\phi\} \Rightarrow A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha = \phi \in \{\phi\}$. Hence, A is $\beta\rho\{\phi\}$ -compact.

Lemma: 4.19 If A and B are subsets of a topological space (X, τ) such that $A \subseteq B, B \subseteq \beta cl(A)$ and A is $g\beta$ -closed, then A is β -compact if and only if B is β -compact.

Theorem 4.20 Let $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ be β -irresolute and surjective. If (X, τ, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact and $J = \{B \subseteq Y : f^{-1}(B) \in I\}$, then (Y, δ, J) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact.

Proof Let $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ be β -irresolute and surjective, and (X, τ, I) be $\beta\rho I$ -compact. By (a) of Lemma 2.19, J is an ideal on Y . Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -open sets in Y such that $Y \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in J$. This implies $f^{-1}(Y \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) = X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} f^{-1}(U_\alpha) \in I$ where $\{f^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of β -open sets in X . The space (X, τ, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact implies $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} f^{-1}(U_\alpha) \in I$. Hence, $f(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} f^{-1}(U_\alpha)) = Y \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha \in J$. Therefore, (Y, δ, J) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact.

Theorem 4.21 Let $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ be β -irresolute and bijective. If (X, τ, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact and $f(I) = \{f(A) : A \in I\}$, then $(Y, \delta, f(I))$ is $\beta\rho I$ -compact.

Proof Let $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ be β -irresolute and bijective, and (X, τ, I) be $\beta\rho I$ -compact. By (b) of Lemma 2.19, $f(I)$ is an ideal on Y . Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -open sets in Y such that $Y \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in f(I)$. This implies there exists $A \in I$ such that $Y \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in f(A)$. Hence, we have $A = f^{-1}(Y \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) = X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} f^{-1}(U_\alpha) \in I$. Given that (X, τ, I) is $\beta\rho I$ -compact, $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} f^{-1}(U_\alpha) \in I$. Therefore, $f(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} f^{-1}(U_\alpha)) = Y \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha \in f(I)$. Hence, $(Y, \delta, f(I))$ is $\beta\rho I$ -compact.

Theorem 4.22 Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta, J)$ be an MB-open bijection. If (Y, δ, J) is $\beta\rho J$ -compact and $f^{-1}(J) = \{f^{-1}(C) : C \in J\}$, then $(X, \tau, f^{-1}(J))$ is $\beta\rho f^{-1}(J)$ -compact.

Proof Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta, J)$ be an MB-open bijection and (Y, δ, J) be $\beta\rho J$ -compact. By Lemma 2.20, $f^{-1}(J)$ is an ideal on X . Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of β -open sets in X such that $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in f^{-1}(J)$. Then, there exists $Z \in J$ such that $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = f^{-1}(Z)$. Therefore, we have $f(X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) = Y \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} f(U_\alpha) = Z \in J$. Given that (Y, δ, J) is $\beta\rho J$ -compact, $\exists \Delta_o \subseteq \Delta$ finite such that $Y \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} f(U_\alpha) \in J$. Hence, $f^{-1}(Y \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} f(U_\alpha)) = X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_o} U_\alpha \in f^{-1}(J)$. Therefore, $(X, \tau, f^{-1}(J))$ is $\beta\rho f^{-1}(J)$ -compact.

References

- Abd El-Monsef ME, El-Deeb SN, Mahmoud RA. β -open sets and β -continuous mappings. Bull Fac Sci Assiut Univ 1983; 12:77–90.
- Abd El-Monsef ME, Lashien EF, Nasef AA. S-compactness via ideals. Tamkang J Math 1993; 24(4):431–43.
- Andrijevic D. Semi-preopen sets. Mat Vesn 1986; 36:24–32.
- Caldas M, Jafari S. A new decomposition of β -open functions. Chaos Solit Fractals 2009; 40:10–12.
- Catalan GT, Padua RN, Baldado Jr MP. On β -open sets and ideal topological spaces. Eur J Pure Appl Math 2019; 12:893–905.
- Dontchev J. On pre-I-open sets and a decomposition of I-continuity. Banyan Math J 1996; 2:24–30.
- Dontchev J, Ganster M, Rose D. Ideal resolvability. Topol Appl 1999; 64(3):1–16.
- Ganster M, Andrijevic D. On some questions concerning semi-preopen sets. J Inst Math Comp Sci (Math Ser) 1988; 1(2):65–75.
- Gupta A, Kaur R. Compact spaces with respect to an ideal. Int J Pure Appl Math 2014; 92(3):443–8.
- Hamlett TR, Jankovic DS. Compactness with respect to an ideal. Boll Un Mat Ital (7) 1990; 4:849–61.
- Hamlett TR, Jankovic DS, Rose D. Countable compactness with respect to an ideal. Math Chron 1991; 20:109–26.
- Hayashi E. Topologies defined by local properties. Math Ann 1964; 156:205–15.
- Hosny RA. Some types of compactness via ideal. Int J Sci Eng Res 2013; 4(5):1293–6.
- Jankovic D, Hamlett TR. New topologies from old via ideals. Am Math Mont 1990; 97:295–310.
- Jankovic D, Hamlett TR. Compatible extensions of deals. Bolletino U M 1992; 6(7) :453–65.
- Kuratowski K. Topology. Academic press, New York, NY, 1966.

- Mahmoud RA, Abd El-Monsef ME. β -irresolute and β -topological invariant. Proc Pak Acad Sci 1990; 27(3):285–96.
- Nasef AA. Some classes of compactness in terms of ideals. Soochow J Math 2001; 27 (3):343–52.
- Newcomb RL. Topologies which are compact modulo an ideal. PhD Dissertation, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, 1967.
- Pachon NR. New forms of strong compactness in terms of ideals. Int J Pure Appl Math 2016; 106(2):481–93.
- Racin DV. Compactness modulo an ideal, Soviet Math Dokl 1972; 13(1):193–7.
- Vaidyanathaswamy R. The localization theory in set topology. Proc Indian Acad Sci Math Sci 1945; 20:51–61.